



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/616,796

07/10/2003

Timothy P. Gibson

H0004400

1971

128 7590 11/17/2008
HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC.
101 COLUMBIA ROAD
P O BOX 2245
MORRISTOWN, NJ 07962-2245

EXAMINER

VLAHOS, SOPHIA

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2611

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

11/17/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/616,796

Applicant(s)

GIBSON ET AL.

Examiner

SOPHIA VLAHOS

Art Unit

2611

Period for Reply -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 October 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9, 11-16, 18, 19, 21-24, 26-54 and 57-60 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9, 11-16, 18, 19, 21-24, 26-54 and 57-60 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 10 July 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-848)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/21/08 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1, 4-8, 18-19, 21-23, 34-36, 41-43, 47-49, 52-54, 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaminski et al., (U.S. 6,678,512) in view of Rogers (U.S. 4,404,685) and Whikehart et al. (U.S. 7,200,377).

With respect to claim 1 Kaminski et al., disclose: a front-end circuit operable to receive a plurality of radio signals transmitted across a frequency band and operable to generate an analog signal simultaneously carrying a plurality of channels within said frequency band (Fig. 3, see antenna 12a, filter 20a, amplifier 52a, frequency band 824-849MHz, see column 5, lines 40-47, see the frequency channels (simultaneously carried) within specified frequency range, received by front-end, column 5, lines 51-56);

an analog to digital converter coupled to said front-end circuit (Fig. 3, A/D block 24), said analog to digital converter operable to convert said analog signal to a digital signal simultaneously carrying said plurality of channels within said frequency band (column 5, lines 62-65, column 6, lines 17-21, where the A/D receives the signals (channels) in cellular band and PCS band (see combiner shown in Fig. 3) and converts these to digital); and a digital processing system coupled to said analog to digital converter (Fig. 3, block 26, DSP), said digital processing system operable to receive said digital signal and generate from said digital signal a plurality of output signals corresponding to a plurality of channels within said frequency band (column 4, lines 66-67, specifically the recitation "The digital signal processing circuitry 26 can retrieve the digital signals.." column 5, lines 1-10, DSP retrieves digital signals from the different channels) by performing digital signal processing and Fig. 3 shows as an output of the DSP block signals in the cellular and PCS bands)).

Kaminski et al. do not expressly teach: by utilizing a plurality of aviation specific modulation formats and which correspond to a plurality of aviation-specific radio channels and aviation-specific functions, and substantially simultaneously generate from said digital signal a plurality of aviation-specific output signals corresponding to a plurality of channels within said frequency band.

In the same field of endeavor (wireless communications), Rogers discloses: by utilizing a plurality of aviation specific modulation formats and which correspond to a plurality of aviation-specific radio channels and aviation-specific functions (column 3,

lines, 25-57, see NAV/COM aircraft band signals, and allocation of channels, and it is understood that the NAV/COM signals have specific modulation formats).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Kaminski et al. based on the teachings of Rogers. so that it processes aviation type signals (NAV/COM) that are used by commercial aircrafts using a single A/D converter (Kaminski et al. column 2, lines 55-58).

In the same field of endeavor (multi-channel wireless receiver), Whikehart et al. discloses: substantially simultaneously generate from said digital signal a plurality of output signals corresponding to a plurality of channels within said frequency band (column 1, lines 43-46, column 2, lines 46-61, simultaneous output signals out of digital signal processor 20 of Fig. 1).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Kaminski et al. based on the teachings of Whikehart so multiple users or multiple devices receive a plurality of output signals corresponding to a plurality of channels within said frequency band (Whikehart et al. column 2, lines 56-61).

Claim 60 is rejected based on a rationale similar to the one used to reject claim 1 above.

With respect to claim 4, the combination of Kaminski et al., Rogers, and Whitehart et al. further discloses: wherein said digital processing system generates a plurality of output signals comprising a plurality of signals for transmission to a plurality of end devices (Whitehart et al., column 2, lines 56-61).

With respect to claim 5, Kaminski et al., disclose: wherein said front-end circuit comprises an antenna circuit operable to receive said radio signals (Fig. 3, see antenna 12a).

With respect to claim 6, Kaminski et al., disclose: wherein said front-end receiver further comprises an amplifier circuit operable to amplify said received radio signals (Fig. 3, LNA, block 52a).

With respect to claims 7, 8 Kaminski et al., disclose: wherein said front-end circuit further comprises a filter circuit operable to filter said received radio signals (Fig. 3, filter 20a, bandpass filter, column 5, lines 54-56).

With respect to claims 18-19, claims 18-19, are rejected based on a rationale similar to the one used to reject claim 1 above, and see that Kaminski discloses: at least one front-end circuit group comprising a plurality of front-end circuits (Fig. 1, where the components to the left of the combiner block, are considered to comprise one front end circuit group see also Fig. 3). With respect to the limitation said digital processor

operable to extract information from said at least one of said channels and generate said at least one output, this limitation is disclosed by Whitehart et al. (column 2, lines 56-61, audio or data are extracted from the channels, and are output).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Kaminski et al. based on the teachings of Whitehart so multiple users or multiple devices receive a plurality of audio or data (Whitehart et al. column 2, lines 56-61).

Claims 21-23 are rejected based on a rationale similar to the one used to reject claims 5-7 above.

With respect to claim 34, Kaminski et al., disclose: providing a radio receiver comprising: one or more front-end circuits (Fig. 3, see at least two front-end circuits each comprising antenna, filter and LNA); one or more analog to digital converters coupled to said one or more front-end circuits (Fig. 3, A/D 24, coupled to front-end circuit); and a digital processing system coupled to said one or more analog to digital converters (Fig. 3, DSP), said digital processing system comprising :a digital down converter (part of DSP and therefore coupled to it, see column 4, lines 66-67, column 5, lines 1-9); and a digital signal processor coupled to said digital down converter (DSP mentioned above, the tuning to respective frequencies); receiving at a plurality of the one or more front-end circuits a plurality of radio signals transmitted across a frequency band (Fig. 3, see signals to combiner 56, the plurality of signals within cellular and PCS bands, column 5, lines 40-51, 63-67) , wherein said radio signals received by any one of

said front-end circuits are within a different frequency band than said radio signals received by the other of said front-end circuits (Fig. 3, see different frequency bands, cellular band and PCS band); generating an analog signal from said received radio signals, said analog signal simultaneously carrying a plurality of channels within said frequency band (column 5, lines 62-65); converting said analog signal to a digital signal simultaneously carrying said plurality of channels within said frequency band to thereby digitize said plurality of channels within said frequency band (Fig. 3, A/D converter 24, column 6, lines 18-25); and generating a plurality of output signals corresponding to a plurality of said digitized channels within said frequency band (Fig. 3, DSP block 26, column 4, lines 66-67, column 5, lines 1-9, see retrieval and processing of digital signals (the replica cellular and PCM bands)).

Kaminski et al. do not expressly teach: by utilizing a plurality of aviation-specific modulation formats and which correspond to a plurality of aviation-specific radio channels and aviation-specific functions; substantially simultaneously generating from said digital signal a plurality of aviation-specific output signals corresponding to a plurality of said digitized channels within said frequency bands.

In the same field of endeavor (wireless communications), Rogers discloses: by utilizing a plurality of aviation specific modulation formats and which correspond to a plurality of aviation-specific radio channels and aviation-specific functions (column 3, lines, 25-57, see NAV/COM aircraft band signals, and allocation of channels, and it is understood that the NAV/COM signals have specific modulation formats).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Kaminski et al. based on the teachings of Rogers. so that it processes aviation type signals (NAV/COM) that are used by commercial aircrafts using a single A/D converter (Kaminski et al. column 2, lines 55-58).

In the same field of endeavor (multi-channel wireless receiver), Whikehart et al. discloses: substantially simultaneously generate from said digital signal a plurality of output signals corresponding to a plurality of channels within said frequency band (column 1, lines 43-46, column 2, lines 46-61, simultaneous output signals out of digital signal processor 20 of Fig. 1).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Kaminski et al. based on the teachings of Whikehart so multiple users or multiple devices receive a plurality of output signals corresponding to a plurality of channels within said frequency band (Whikehart et al. column 2, lines 56-61).

With respect to claims 35-36, 41-42 see above rejection of claims 6-7 and 4 above.

Claim 43 is rejected based on a rationale similar to the one used to reject claim 34 above, and with respect to the limitation said digital processor operable to extract information from said at least one of said channels and generate said at least one

output, this limitation is disclosed by Whikehart et al. (column 2, lines 56-61, audio or data are extracted from the channels, and are output).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Kaminski et al. based on the teachings of Whikehart so multiple users or multiple devices receive a plurality of audio or data (Whikehart et al. column 2, lines 56-61).

With respect to claims 46-49, 52, 57 claims 46-49, 52 are rejected based on a rationale similar to the one used to reject claim 43.

With respect to claims 53-54, these claims are rejected based on a rationale similar to the one used to reject claims 18,18,22 above.

4. Claims 2-3, 9, 14-15, 24, 27, 37, 44-45, 50-51, 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaminski et al., (U.S. 6,678,512) in view of Rogers (U.S. 4,404,685) and Whikehart et al. (U.S. 7,200,377) and further in view of Phillips et al. (U.S. 5,859,878).

With respect to claims 2, 3 the limitations of these claims are not expressly taught by neither Kaminski et al. nor Rogers or Whikehart. In the same field of endeavor, wireless communications, Phillips et al., disclose: wherein said digital processing system generates a single output signal comprising a time-domain multiplexed serial data link multiplexed serial data link (see Fig. 8B, (coupled to the system of 8A) where a

serial interface is used to supply signals to the computer, see column 7, lines 47-52, and see Fig. 6, shows TDM processing at the receiver, that results into TDM outputs). ; further comprising a controller coupled to said digital processing system, said controller operable to receive said time-domain multiplexed serial data link and generate a plurality of signals to a plurality of end devices (Fig. 12B, line transceiver and system bus, supplying serial data to plurality of end devices in computer, column 16, lines 14-17, uart (serial communication), and see column 15, lines 50-56).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Kaminski et al., based on the teachings of Phillips et. al., to achieve high-speed transfer of data (TDM serial data) and supply data to a plurality of external users (intercom).

Claims 44-45, 50-51 are rejected based on a rationale to the one used to reject claims 2-3.

With respect to claims 9, 24, 37, 58 neither Kaminski et al nor Rogers or Whitehart expressly teach: wherein said front-end circuit further comprises an intermediate frequency mixing circuit operable to translate said received signals to an intermediate frequency band.

However, Phillips et al. disclose: wherein said front-end circuit further comprises an intermediate frequency conversion circuit operable to translate received signals to an intermediate frequency band (column 8, lines 36-38).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Kaminski et al., based on the teachings of Phillips et al. so that conversion to IF takes place (and frequency conversion uses frequency mixer see column 2, Phillips lines 38-42) so that the processing components after the frequency conversion to IF function at an IF frequency and not wideband frequencies).

With respect to claim 14, Whikehart et al. further discloses wherein said digital processor operable to extract information from said at least one of said channels and generate said at least one output signal(column 2, lines 56-61, audio or data are extracted from the channels, and are output).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Kaminski et al. based on the teachings of Whikehart so multiple users or multiple devices receive a plurality of audio or data (Whikehart et al. column 2, lines 56-61).

Neither Kaminski nor Rogers or Whikehart disclose: according to configurable channel decoding parameters. In the same field of endeavor, Phillips discloses: wherein said digital signal processor extracts information from said at least one of said selected channels according to configurable channel decoding parameters (column 10, lines 49-58).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Kaminski et al based on the teachings of Phillips

to process (decode) a variety of received signals using flexible-programmable processing functions (Phillips, column 10, lines 49-54)

With respect to claim 15, Kaminski does not expressly teach: wherein said configurable channel decoding parameters are software configurable.

In the same field of endeavor, Phillips discloses: wherein said configurable channel decoding parameters are software configurable (column 22, lines 61-67 column 23 lines 1-3 and column 11, lines 49-51, DPS executes a RAM program to perform signal processing/decoding).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Kaminski based on the teachings of Phillips to process (decode) a variety of received signals using flexible-programmable processing functions (Phillips, column 10, lines 49-54, column 11, lines 25-32).

Claim 27 is rejected based on a rationale similar to the one used to reject claim 15 above.

5. Claims 11-13, 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaminski et al., (U.S. 6,678,512) in view of Rogers (U.S. 4,404,685) Whitehart et al. (U.S. 7,200,377) and further in view of Bugeja et al. (U.S. 2002/0177446).

With respect Kaminski et al. further discloses: wherein said digital processing system further comprises a digital down converter operable to select said at least one of

said channels within said frequency band, wherein said digital down converter selects said at least one of said channels according to a configurable channel selection parameter (column 5, lines 3-9, the tunable DDC);

Neither Kaminski et al. nor Rogers or Whikehart et al. expressly disclose: configurable channel selection parameters.

In the same field of endeavor, Bugeja et al. discloses: a digital down converter selecting at least one of said channels according to configurable channel selection parameters (Fig. 1, blocks controller 30, Digital baseband and Mac Layer 22, [0032-0034], and Fig. 6 [0059-0060] see programmable center frequencies and bandwidths of programmable filters and programmable digital downconverters, 124a-124N, 126a-126N)

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Kaminski et al. so that a bandwidth and frequency of a channel are programmable, resulting in a flexible (programmable) receiver system.

With respect to claims 12,13 Bugeja et al. further discloses: wherein said configurable channel selection parameters are software configurable; wherein said configurable channel selection parameters are selected from the following group: channel frequency, channel bandwidth, and combinations thereof. (Fig. 1, blocks controller 30, Digital baseband and Mac Layer 22, I[0032-0034, and Fig. 6 110059-0060

see programmable center frequencies and bandwidths of programmable filters and programmable digital downconverters, 124a-124N, 126a-126N)

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Kaminski et al. so that a bandwidth and frequency of a channel are programmable, resulting in a flexible (programmable) receiver system.

Claim 26 is rejected based on a rationale similar to the one used to reject claim 12 above

6. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaminski et al., (U.S. 6,678,512) in view of Rogers (U.S. 4,404,685) Whikehart et al. (U.S. 7,200,377), and Phillips (U.S. 5,859,878) and further in view of Bugeja et al. (U.S. 2002/0177446)

With respect to claim 16, the combination of Kaminski, Rogers, Whikehart, and Phillips further disclose: wherein said configurable channel decoding parameters are selected from the following group: channel frequency, channel modulation scheme, channel information format, and combinations thereof (Phillips column 10, lines 49-58).

Neither Kaminski, Rogers, Whikehart, or Phillips expressly teach: channel bandwidth.

In the same field of endeavor, Bugeja et al. further discloses: configurable channel decoding parameters are selected from: channel bandwidth (Fig. 1, blocks

controller 30, Digital baseband and Mac Layer 22, ¶¶0032-0034, and Fig. 6 ¶¶0059-0060 see programmable bandwidths of programmable filters).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Kaminski et al. based on the teachings of Bugeja et al. so that channel bandwidth is programmable, resulting in a flexible (programmable) receiver system.

7. Claims 38-40, 59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaminski et al., (U.S. 6,678,512) in view of Rogers (U.S. 4,404,685) Whitehart et al. (U.S. 7,200,377) Bugeja et al. (U.S. 2002/0177446) and further in view of Phillips (U.S. 5,859,878).

With respect to claims 38-40 these claims are rejected based on a rationale similar to the one used to reject claims 12, 15, 2-3 above.

8. Claims 18-19, 21-23, 27, 29-31, 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kelley (U.S. 5,870,402) in view of Rogers (U.S. 4,404,685).

With respect to claims 29-31, 33 Kelley discloses: a plurality of front-end circuits each of which comprises an antenna circuit operable to receive a plurality of radio signals (Fig. 2a, each of the front ends comprise antennas 14, amplifiers 16, filters 18 and each one of antennas 14a, 14b, 14c each one of them receives signals over the AM, FM and cellular, column 4, lines 5-8), and operable to generate an analog signal

simultaneously carrying a plurality of channels within said frequency bands (the analog signals captured by antennas is understood to carry a plurality of channels, AM or FM radio or channels in the cellular range, see frequency ranges of capture column 3, lines 45-48); a plurality of analog to digital converters each of which is coupled to at least one of said front-end circuits, wherein each of said analog to digital converters is operable to convert said analog signal to a digital signal simultaneously carrying said plurality of channels within said frequency band (Fig. 2a, block 20 "ADC, column 4, lines 43-48, where 3 ADCs are used one for each service band, and even in digital form the plurality of channels are available, see column 5, lines 9-15); a digital processing system coupled to each of said analog to digital converter (Fig 2b, shows digital signal processing of the digital signals out of Fig. 2a, column 5, lines 9-14), said digital processing system operable to receive said digital signals from said analog to digital converters and substantially simultaneously generate from said digital signal a plurality of output signals (column 3, lines 49-53 see the obtaining simultaneous outputs and column 7, lines 13-16 corresponding to a plurality of said channels within said frequency band of at least one of said front-end circuits (column 6, lines 9-12, 44-57, the TDM digital signal including plurality of FM channels (stations) Fig. 2b shows three output signals (58c, 58b, 58a) FM stations (channels)), said digital processing system comprising: a digital down converter operable to select at least one of said channels within said frequency band of at least one of said front-end circuits (function of blocks 42, 40, 38a-38c (comprising a digital down converter) , column 5, lines 54-65, column 6 lines 6-15, column 6, lines 60-63, where the signals out of mixer 42 are the selected

channels (FM stations for example)) and a digital signal processor coupled to said digital down converter, said digital signal processor operable to extract information from said at least one of said channels and generate said at least one output signal (Fig 2b, blocks to the right of Demux 44, see column 6, lines 44-67, through column 7, lines 1-9, audio information is extracted from the FM stations).

Kelley does not expressly teach: by utilizing a plurality of aviation-specific modulation formats and which correspond to a plurality of aviation-specific radio channels and aviation specific functions;

In the same field of endeavor (wireless communications), Rogers discloses: by utilizing a plurality of aviation specific modulation formats and which correspond to a plurality of aviation-specific radio channels and aviation-specific functions (column 3, lines, 25-57, see NAV/COM aircraft band signals, and allocation of channels, and it is understood that the NAV/COM signals have specific modulation formats).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Kelley based on the teachings of Rogers so that it processes aviation type signals (NAV/COM) that are used by commercial aircrafts, by providing simultaneous processing at multiple frequencies, and with a capability for multiple users to simultaneously obtain outputs (Kelley column 3, lines 49-53)

Claim 18 is rejected based on a rationale similar to the one used to reject claim 29 above, (where a circuit group comprises two of the radio reception branches of Fig2a)

Claims 19, 21-23 are rejected based on a rationale similar to the one used to reject claims 2-31 above.

With respect to claim 27, see that Kelley discloses: wherein said digital signal processor extracts said information from said at least one of said selected channels according to software configurable decoding parameters (Kelley column 6, lines 46-53).

9. Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kelley (U.S. 5,870,402) in view of Rogers (U.S. 4,404,685) and further in view of Phillips (U.S. 5,859,878).

With respect to claim 33, neither Kelley nor Rogers expressly teach: wherein said front-end circuit further comprises an intermediate frequency mixing circuit operable to translate said received signals to an intermediate frequency band.

However, Phillips et al. disclose: wherein said front-end circuit further comprises an intermediate frequency conversion circuit operable to translate received signals to an intermediate frequency band (column 8, lines 36-38).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Kelley based on the teachings of Phillips et al. so that conversion to IF takes place (and frequency conversion uses frequency mixer see column 2, Phillips lines 38-42) so that the processing components after the frequency conversion to IF function at an IF frequency and not wideband frequencies).

10. Claims 24, 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kelley (U.S. 5,870,402) in view of Rogers (U.S. 4,404,685) and further in view of Phillips et al. (U.S. 5,859,878).

With respect to claims 24, 27 these claims are rejected based on a rationale similar to the one used to reject claims 9, 15.

11. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kelley (U.S. 5,870,402) in view of Rogers (U.S. 4,404,685) and further in view of Kelley et al. (U.S. 5,280,636).

With respect to claim 28, neither Kelley (402) nor Rogers expressly teach: comprising a plurality of front-end circuit groups and a plurality of corresponding analog to digital converters (Kelly (402) only shows one front end circuit group (with two rf reception branches and 2 corresponding ADCs, one for each rf branch), wherein said digital processing system is operable to receive a plurality of digital signals from said analog to digital converters and generate at least one output signal corresponding to at least one of said channels within said frequency band of at least one of said front-end circuits of at least one of said font end circuit groups.

In the same field of endeavor, Kelley (636) discloses: comprising a plurality of front-end circuit groups (Fig. 1, antenna 4, column 3, lines 55-67, column 4, lines 1-2, the case where receiving antennas for multiple services are used, and considered in pairs comprise front-end circuit groups).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Kelley and Rogers based on the teachings of Kelley (636) so that a plurality of different types of services, including AM, FM, cellular, military communications, are simultaneously processed by the receiver of Kelley (403)(Kelley (402) column 3, lines 64-67 and Kelley (636) column 3, lines 49-53).

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SOPHIA VLAHOS whose telephone number is (571)272-5507. The examiner can normally be reached on MTWRF 8:30-17:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammed Ghayour can be reached on 571 272 3021. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/SOPHIA VLAHOS/
Examiner, Art Unit 2611
11/14/2008

/Mohammad H Ghayour/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2611